

December 1997

HU Berlin-EP-97/89

hep-th@xxx/9712057

Non-Abelian gauge field dynamics on matrix D-branes in curved space and two-dimensional σ -models[†]

H. Dorn ¹

*Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin
Institut für Physik, Theorie der Elementarteilchen
Invalidenstraße 110, D-10115 Berlin*

Abstract

A survey is given of the formulation of a σ -model describing an open string moving in general target space background fields and coupling to both a matrix-valued D-brane position and a matrix-valued gauge field on the D-brane. The equations of motion for the D-brane and the gauge field are derived from the conformal invariance condition on the string world sheet in lowest order of α' . The ordering problem of the involved matrices is solved.

In addition to our previous work we discuss a conflict between the classical T-duality rules and renormalization. The calculation of the RG β -functions does not yield the mass term obtained by formal application of these rules in the case of target space separated D-brane copies.

[†]Talk given at the workshop “Quantum Aspects of Gauge Theories, Supersymmetry and Unification”, Neuchâtel, September 18-23, 1997, to appear in the proceedings.

¹e-mail: dorn@qft3.physik.hu-berlin.de

1 Introduction

Dirichlet branes appear via T-duality transformations of open strings and, independently, they are necessary in type II theories to complete the duality pattern between the various string theories, see e.g.[1]. In this contribution we will discuss the situation of an open string propagating in nontrivial target space fields $G_{\mu\nu}(X)$, $B_{\mu\nu}(X)$, $\Phi(X)$.² Its endpoints are bounded to a D-brane whose target space position is described by $X^\mu = f^\mu(Y)$, where Y^M , $M = 1, \dots, p+1$, are coordinates on the D-brane. The end points can move freely within the D-brane and couple to an Abelian gauge field $A_M(Y)$ living on the D-brane. Here we do not take into account any RR-background field. The equations of motion for G , B , Φ , A and f can be derived from the conformal invariance condition of the two-dimensional σ -model living on the world sheet of the string. The resulting equations of motion are equivalent to the stationarity condition of the Born-Infeld action reduced to the D-brane [2]

$$S_{BI} = \int d^{p+1}Y e^{-\phi(Y)} \sqrt{\det(g + b + 2\pi\alpha' F)} . \quad (1)$$

Here g , b , ϕ are the fields induced on the brane by G , B , Φ . F is the field strength to A .

The generalization to non-Abelian gauge fields on the D-brane is a subtle issue within the σ -model approach. Part of the problem is present even before taking into account D-branes. A non-Abelian structure is introduced by attaching Chan-Paton indices to the endpoints of the string. Now in general the partition function $Z[G, B, \Phi, A]$ should be an off shell extension of the generating functional of string scattering amplitudes. Due to the index structure connected with the emission of an open string there is no such σ -model for a particular (a, b) excitation for $a \neq b$. Indeed if an (a, b) string emits an (a, c) string an (c, b) string remains. There is no choice of c possible such that all three participants are of the same type. The summation over the allowed values of the Chan-Paton indices on all boundary components of the world sheet for N-point amplitudes and summation over N yields the Wilson loop for the gauge field introduced as a source of the gauge excitations of the string.³ One should stress that the boundary of the Wilson loop σ -model carries a Chan-Paton double index. This is in contrast to the world sheet boundary of a particular (a, b) string, as can be seen in the figure. The Wilson loop along the world sheet boundary ∂M is given by $\text{tr} U$ with $U[\partial M, A] = P \exp(i \int A_\mu dX^\mu)$ and has, due to the path ordering P , not the structure of the exponential of a local boundary action as in the Abelian case. Therefore, the analog of the Lorentz force term in the boundary condition of a string with freely moving ends appears to be $\propto \text{tr} P(F_{\mu\nu} \dot{X}^\nu U[\partial M, A])$, which is a nonlocal term. There is however a well known trick to achieve a local description at least in intermediate steps of the calculation. Introducing a 1-dimensional auxiliary field living on ∂M with

² $B \neq 0$ in type II theories only.

³For a somewhat different approach see [3].




Fig. Chan-Paton index structure of the boundary of the 2-dim. manifold for an (a,b) string and for the Wilson loop σ -model.

propagator $\langle \bar{\zeta}_a(s) \zeta_b(s') \rangle_0 = \Theta(s' - s) \delta_{ab}$ one can write [4]

$$U_{ab}[\partial M, A] = \int_{\partial M} D\bar{\zeta} D\zeta \bar{\zeta}_b(0) \zeta_a(1) \exp \left(- \int_0^1 \left(\bar{\zeta} \frac{d}{ds} \zeta(s) - i \bar{\zeta} A_\mu(X(s)) \zeta \dot{X}^\mu \right) ds \right). \quad (2)$$

Under the $\bar{\zeta}, \zeta$ auxiliary integral the Lorentz force now is $\propto \bar{\zeta}(s) F_{\mu\nu}(X(s)) \zeta(s) \dot{X}^\nu(s)$.

Let us take aside for a moment the aim to find a σ -model picture. Then the generalization to the non-Abelian situation of the model for an open string bound to some D-brane can proceed along the following line of arguments [5, 1]. One introduces as many D-branes as the Chan-Paton index takes values ($a = 1, 2, \dots, N$). The string endpoint with index a has to sit on the D-brane copy number a . As long as the various copies are lying on top of each other the situation is, concerning the gauge field excitations, simply the same as for a string with free ends in a reduced space. The gauge fields live on the D-brane. As a second step one allows the D-brane copies to be separated in target space. Then due to the string tension gauge field excitations (a, b) become massive if the branes with number a and number b are separated. The N brane positions are interpreted to be the entries in a diagonal $N \times N$ matrix. Finally the D-brane position is allowed to become a full $N \times N$ matrix. This last step which puts the now matrix-valued brane position and the gauge field living on the brane into the same gauge structure is motivated mainly, at least to my understanding, by a look at the formal extension of the T-duality rules for open strings in Abelian gauge field background. In that case the components of the gauge field in the isometry directions become the D-brane position in the dualized version. There is also a possibility to relate the nondiagonal matrix elements to excitations of strings spanned between different D-brane copies directly [6].

We summarize in section 2 the outcome of our functional integral treatment of T-duality of the σ -model describing an open string coupled to non-Abelian gauge fields based on the use of the auxiliary ζ -field formalism [7, 8]. It gives a meaning to the notion of matrix valued D-brane position suitable for practical calculations. The model obtained after T-dualizing allows a straightforward generalization to the generic case without any isometry. Thereby the ordering problem for the target space fields at matrix-valued arguments among themselves as well as with the gauge field and the D-brane position is solved. To demonstrate the calculational power of the formalism the result of the lowest order in α' calculation of the gauge field and brane position RG β -function is presented.

The final section 3 is devoted to the problem of mass term generation for the situation of separated D-brane copies discussed above on the heuristic level. We show how a formal application of the T-duality rules to the standard Yang-Mills equation of motion yields such a term. On the other side we find no hint to such a term in the direct β -function calculation in the D-brane model.

2 σ -model construction and its RG β -functions

The partition function for the σ -model describing an open string with free ends coupling to a non-Abelian gauge field $A_\mu(X)$ is given by (Ψ is a collective notation for G , B , Φ . γ is a metric on the string world sheet.)

$$Z[\Psi, A] = \int DX D\bar{\zeta} D\zeta \exp \left(- \int_0^1 \bar{\zeta} \dot{\zeta} ds + iS[\Psi, C; X] \right) , \quad (3)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} S[\Psi, C; X] &= S_M[\Psi; X] + \int_{\partial M} \left(C_\mu(X(z(s)), s) \dot{X}^\mu - \frac{1}{2\pi} k(s) \Phi \right) ds , \\ S_M[\Psi; X] &= \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int_M d^2z \sqrt{-\gamma} \left(\partial_m X^\mu \partial_n X^\nu E_{\mu\nu}^{mn}(X(z)) + \alpha' R^{(2)} \Phi(X(z)) \right) , \\ E_{\mu\nu}^{mn}(X) &= \gamma^{mn} G_{\mu\nu}(X) + \frac{\epsilon^{mn}}{\sqrt{-\gamma}} B_{\mu\nu}(X) , \\ C_\mu(X(z(s)), s) &= \bar{\zeta}(s) A_\mu(X(z(s))) \zeta(s) . \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

We now interchange the order of integrations over the ζ -auxiliary field and the string position X . Then before performing the final ζ -integration we find ourselves in an Abelian situation. The only difference to the genuine Abelian case is an explicit boundary parameter (s) dependence of the gauge field C_μ . The standard manipulations to derive Buscher's rules [9] within the functional integral formalism can now be repeated. For the simplest case of one isometry $X^\mu = (X^1, X^M)$, $\partial_1 \Psi = \partial_1 A = 0$ one gets [7, 8]

$$Z = \int D\bar{\zeta} D\zeta \bar{\zeta}_b(0) \zeta_b(1) e^{-\int_0^1 \bar{\zeta} \dot{\zeta} ds} \mathcal{F}[\tilde{\Psi}, \bar{\zeta} \tilde{A} \zeta | -2\pi\alpha' \bar{\zeta} A_1 \zeta] . \quad (5)$$

$\mathcal{F}$ is a functional of the target space fields and a function f specifying the boundary condition. It is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}[\Psi, C|f] = \int_{X^1(z(s))=f(X^M(z(s)), s)} DX \exp(iS[\Psi, C; X]) . \quad (6)$$

The dual target space fields are given by the standard (closed string) Buscher rules for $\tilde{\Psi} \leftrightarrow \Psi$ as well as by

$$\tilde{A}_\mu = (0, A_M) , \quad \text{with } \tilde{X}^M = X^M . \quad (7)$$

The boundary condition in (6) is an explicit s -dependent Dirichlet condition. Matrices appear as arguments in $\mathcal{F}$ only sandwiched between $\bar{\zeta}$ and ζ . The final ζ -integration

undoes sandwiching and orders the matrices with respect to their corresponding boundary parameter value. This ordering then concerns matrices appearing in the gauge field argument of $\mathcal{F}$ as well in its boundary condition specifying argument. Thereby the notion of matrix valued boundary condition gets a meaning suitable for practical calculations.

Before the final ζ -integration there is a simple D-brane interpretation of the boundary condition as follows. In the Abelian gauge field case there is an a priori⁴ given manifold $\tilde{X}^1 = f(\tilde{X}^M) = -2\pi\alpha' A_1(X^M)$. The boundary of the string world sheet has to be situated on this manifold. In the non-Abelian case there is an infinite set of manifolds depending on one real parameter $\tilde{X}^1 = f(\tilde{X}^M, s) = -2\pi\alpha' \bar{\zeta}(s) A_1(X^M) \zeta(s)$. The string world sheet boundary point $\tilde{X}^\mu(z(s))$ has to sit on the manifold corresponding to the parameter values s , i.e. $\tilde{X}^\mu(z(s)) = (f(\tilde{X}^M(z(s)), s), \tilde{X}^M(z(s)))$. Perhaps it is useful to stress that the manifolds for different value of s should not be confused with the finite number of D-brane copies discussed in the introduction.

As long as one considers the D-brane model in the presence of isometries just derived via T-duality there is no need to handle the target space fields Ψ at matrix valued arguments because the fields do not depend on the corresponding coordinates. However, to define a non-Abelian D-brane model in the generic non-isometric situation one has to give a prescription for handling Ψ at matrix valued D-brane positions. Then a straightforward definition of the σ -model is achieved by allowing dependence on all coordinates in eqs.(5,6). For a $(p+1)$ -dimensional D-brane with matrix valued position $f^\mu(Y)$ ⁵ and a non-Abelian gauge field $A_M(Y)$ this leads to the partition function

$$\mathcal{Z}[\Psi, A] = \int D\bar{\zeta}(s) D\zeta(s) \bar{\zeta}_b(0) \zeta_b(1) e^{-\int_0^1 \bar{\zeta} \dot{\zeta} ds} \mathcal{F}[\Psi, \bar{\zeta} A \zeta | \bar{\zeta} f \zeta] , \quad (8)$$

with

$$\mathcal{F}[\Psi, \bar{\zeta} A \zeta | \bar{\zeta} f \zeta] = \int_{X^\mu(z(s))=\bar{\zeta}(s)f^\mu(Y(s))\zeta(s)} DX \exp(i\mathcal{S}[\Psi, A; \bar{\zeta}, \zeta; X]) , \quad (9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}[\Psi, A; \bar{\zeta}, \zeta; X] &= S_M[\Psi; X] + \mathcal{S}_{\partial M} , \\ \mathcal{S}_{\partial M} &= \int_{\partial M} \left(\bar{\zeta}(s) A_N(Y(s)) \zeta(s) \dot{Y}^N - \frac{1}{2\pi} k(s) \Phi(X(z(s))) \right) ds . \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

The RG β -functions related to the D-brane fields have been calculated in [8] in lowest order of α' . Defining an operation $\mathcal{Q}$ by

$$\mathcal{Q}\left\{\prod_{j=1}^N (\bar{\zeta} \mathcal{M}_j \zeta)\right\} = \text{Sym} \left(\prod_{j=1}^N \mathcal{M}_j \right) . \quad (11)$$

the result can be summarized as (for $\Phi = 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_L^{(A)} &= \mathcal{Q}\{g^{MN}(\hat{D}_M b_{NL} + 2\pi\alpha' \bar{\zeta} \hat{D}_M F_{NL} \zeta - i\bar{\zeta}[F_{LM}, f^\alpha]\zeta f_{;N}^\nu B_{\nu\alpha})\} , \\ \beta_\nu^{(f)} &= \mathcal{Q}\{g^{MN} K_{MN}^\mu G_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}(b^{MN} + 2\pi\alpha' \bar{\zeta} F^{MN} \zeta) f_{;M}^\alpha f_{;N}^\beta H_{\alpha\beta\nu}\} . \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

⁴Neglecting for a moment the D-brane dynamics.

⁵We drop the tilde and do not introduce a new letter to distinguish the matrix $f^\mu(Y)$ and its sandwiched version $f^\mu(Y(s), s) = \bar{\zeta}(s)f^\mu(Y(s))\zeta(s)$.

H is the field strength related to B ,

$g_{MN}(Y(s), s) = f_{;M}^\mu(Y(s), s) \cdot f_{;N}^\nu \cdot G_{\mu\nu}(\bar{\zeta}(s)f(Y(s))\zeta(s))$, D_M is the gauge covariant derivative, $\hat{D}_M$ the total covariant derivative and K_{MN}^μ the covariant external curvature. Note that $\mathcal{Q}$ acts on all the sandwiched matrices either written down in eq.(12) explicitly or appearing as arguments of $g, \hat{D}, b, B, H, K, f_{;N}^\nu$.

In connection with the discussion of the next chapter one should add, that in [8] there is a simplified treatment of the variational formula for the Wilson loop in ζ -language. Meanwhile I have performed the complete procedure taking into account the appearance of the ζ -field in the boundary condition, too. However, the final result (12) remains unchanged.

3 The mass term

The standard functional integral manipulations to derive the T-duality rules are of formal nature since they do not take care of renormalization effects and functional determinants. Therefore, one has to check whether the derived rules indeed relate equivalent two-dimensional σ -models as quantized field theories on the string world sheet. For σ -models without boundary the Buscher rules get corrections at 2-loop order [10]. In the presence of boundaries coupling to Abelian gauge fields the Buscher rules supplemented by the Dirichlet condition ⁶

$$X^1 = f(X^M) = -2\pi\alpha' \tilde{A}_1(\tilde{X}^M) \quad (13)$$

are respected for constant field strength in all orders of α' (For a discussion see e.g. second ref. in [7]). Let us look at this question for non-Abelian gauge field in the simplest case of Minkowskian target space with $B = 0$, $\Phi = 0$. Then (12) reduces to

$$\beta_L^{(A)} = 2\pi\alpha' \mathcal{Q} \left(g^{MN} \bar{\zeta} D_M F_{NL} \zeta \right), \quad \beta_\nu^{(f)} = \eta_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{Q} \left(g^{MN} K_{MN}^\mu \right), \quad (14)$$

with

$$g_{MN} = \bar{\zeta} D_M f^\mu \zeta \bar{\zeta} D_N f^\nu \zeta \eta_{\mu\nu}.$$

On the other side, applying the rule (13) as well as $\tilde{X}^M = X^M$, $A_1 = 0$, $A_M = \tilde{A}_M$ to the Yang-Mills β -function for an open string with free ends

$$\tilde{\beta}_\lambda^{(\tilde{A})} = 2\pi\alpha' \eta^{\mu\nu} \tilde{D}_\mu \tilde{F}_{\nu\lambda}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\beta}_L^{(\tilde{A})} &= 2\pi\alpha' \eta^{MN} D_M F_{NL} - \frac{i}{2\pi\alpha'} [f, D_L f], \\ \tilde{\beta}_1^{(\tilde{A})} &= -\eta^{MN} D_M D_N f. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

⁶In this section we use the tilde to denote the fields in the free end model.

Besides the subtlety that the induced metric in (14) $g_{MN} = \eta_{MN} + \bar{\zeta} D_M f \zeta \bar{\zeta} D_N f \zeta \eta_{11}$ differs from η_{MN} the most striking difference between (14) and (15) is the appearance of the second term for $\tilde{\beta}_L^{(\tilde{A})}$ in (15). In the spirit of the heuristic discussion of the first section such a term is highly welcome. It can be interpreted as a mass term for the non-Abelian gauge field. This becomes obvious at least in the special D-brane configuration of diagonal and constant f , i.e. $f_{ab} = f_a \delta_{ab}$, $\partial_M f_a = 0$, which describes planar parallel D-brane copies at position $X^1 = f_a$, $a = 1, \dots, N$. The equation of motion obtained from $\tilde{\beta}_L^{(A)} = 0$ then is

$$(D^M F_{ML})_{ab} + \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \right)^2 (f_a - f_b)^2 (A_L)_{ab} = 0. \quad (16)$$

As expected the mass is proportional to the separation of the D-brane copies. Perhaps it is useful to point out that the mass term in its general form in (15) is gauge invariant.

Due to its $(\alpha')^{-1}$ power the mass term in (15) by no means can arise in the direct β -function calculation of the σ -model defined by (8)-(10). Such a calculation for sure yields non-negative powers of α' only. Therefore we have a problem independent of the details of the calculation in [8]. So far we considered the RG β -function which may differ from the Weyl anomaly coefficients. But the argument based on α' power counting applies to possible radiative corrections to the difference of them to the β 's, too. Therefore, the last source for the mass term could be breaking of Weyl invariance at the classical level only. But as long as $\Phi = 0$ this is excluded since both the action as well as the explicit s -dependent Dirichlet condition in (9) is independent of the Weyl degree of freedom in the string world sheet metric γ .

At this stage we can summarize: The D-brane model defined via (8)-(10) is by construction equivalent to the open string model with free ends at the classical level. Taking into account string world sheet radiative corrections this equivalence breaks down at the 1-loop order already. We do not know a modification of the mapping of the fields and boundary conditions which would restore T-duality.

Since a mass term is needed to model the picture described in the introduction one should look for alternative candidates for σ -models of strings coupled to matrix D-branes.⁷ Analyzing the text book dynamics of a single open string spanned in flat space between two separated D-branes one realizes that similar to the case of closed strings winding around a compact dimension a difference between the left and right moving string momentum $p_L \neq p_R$ is responsible for the mass generation. Hence one could speculate whether the wanted σ -model can be found within a formalism using a split in left and right moving contributions to the string position field along the lines of ref. [11].

Acknowledgement I would like to thank H.-J. Otto, V. Pershin and C. Preitschopf for useful discussions.

References

⁷The construction of [3] seems to be of no help for our problem since it assumes the validity of T-duality to offer the non-Abelian free end model as the correct description.

- [1] J. Polchinski, S. Chaudhuri, C.V. Johnson, preprint *Notes on D-Branes*, hep-th/9602052
J. Polchinski, preprint *TASI lectures on D-branes*, hep-th/9611050
- [2] R.G. Leigh, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A4** (1989) 2767
- [3] F. Lizzi, N.E. Mavromatos, R.J. Szabo; preprint *Matrix σ -models for multi D-brane dynamics*, hep-th/9711012
- [4] S. Samuel, *Nucl. Phys.* **B149** (1979) 517; R.A. Brandt, F. Neri, D. Zwanziger, *Phys. Rev.* **D19** (1979) 1153; J.L. Gervais, A. Neveu, *Phys. Rev.* **B163** (1980) 189; I. Ya. Arefyeva, *Phys. Lett.* **93B** (1980) 347; H. Dorn, *Fortschr. d. Phys.* **34** (1986) 11
- [5] E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys.* **B460** (1996) 335, hep-th/9510135
- [6] M.R. Douglas, preprint *D-branes in curved space*, hep-th/9703056
- [7] H. Dorn, H.-J. Otto, *Phys. Lett.* **B381** (1996) 81, hep-th/9603186;
Nucl.Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) **56B** (1997) 30, hep-th/9702018
- [8] H. Dorn, *Nucl. Phys.* **B494** (1997) 105, hep-th/9612120
- [9] T.H. Buscher, *Phys. Lett.* **B194** (1987) 51; *Phys. Lett.* **201** (1988) 466
A. Giveon, M. Poratti, E. Rabinovici, *Phys. Rep.* **244** (1994) 77
- [10] A.A. Tseytlin, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A6** (1991) 1721
J. Balog, P. Forgacs, Z. Horvath, L. Palla, *Phys. Lett.* **B388** (1996), hep-th/9606187
P. Haagensen, *Phys. Lett.* **B383** (1996) 356, hep-th/9604136
N. Kaloper, K.A. Meissner, preprint *Duality beyond the first loop*, hep-th/9705193
- [11] A.A. Tseytlin, *Nucl. Phys.* **B350** (1991) 395